

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/836,158	LEFEVRE, CHRISTOPHE	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>		
Cephia D. Toomer	1714		

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Cephia D. Toomer. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Maria Tungol. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 July 2004      **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**  
 Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
*17 and 29*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Ms. Tungol indicated that the examiner could amend claim 17 to correct a typographical error and could delete claim 29, which does not further limit claim 23.*

**Part III.**  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)